



2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR.

MISC. PETITION NO. 2387 OF 1992. Division Bench (Civil)

PETITIONER :- Shrilal son of Harilal Bhondekar (S.C.) aged 19 years, resident of Sonesrai, Ward no. 11, Khairagarh, District Rajnandgaon (M.P.).

VERSUS.

RESPONDENTS :- 1. State of Madhya Pradesh through the Secretary, Local Self Government, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal,

2. Director, Directorate of Local Self Government, State of M.P., Bhopal,

3. Dy. Director, Local self Government, State of M.P. Raipur,

4. Collector, Rajnandgaon .

5. Administrator, Municipal Council, Khairagarh, District Rajnandgaon.

6. Chief Municipal Officer, Municipal Counsil, Khairagarh.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.



IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR.

MISC. PETITION NO. 2387 OF 1992. Division Bench (Civil)

2. That, the appointment order has been issued in favour of the following personse.g.

(i) Sheelal son of Ramlal, New Bazar, Mutton Market, Dilli RajharaDurg.

( ) Hansraj Korom son of Tulsiram of village-Dewan tola P.O. Bholapur, Tahsil Chhurha, Distt. Rajnandgaon.

(). Padumsingh Tarendras/o Shriram of village-Kohera P.O. Daundi Lohara Distt Durg.

(d VijayBhan s/o Gendalal c/o C.S.Dave, High Shhool Bala, Distt Rajnandgaon."

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.2387/92 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	22.08.2005 Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh/ respondents 1 to 4. The matter was listed for hearing on 01.07.2005 when none appeared for the petitioner and respondents 5 & 6. SPC was directed to be issued to the petitioner as well as respondents 5 & 6. SPC was issued on 25.07.2005. SPC has been served on 06.08.2005 on the petitioner as well as respondents 5 & 6. In the SPC it was clearly stated that the matter was fixed for final hearing on 17.08.2005. Despite notice, no one appeared for the petitioner. In view of the above, the petition is dismissed for want of prosecution. Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge	

Sarma

(पीछे देखिये)